

Poster presentation

Open Access

Predicting BCI performance to study BCI illiteracy

Thorsten Dickhaus¹, Claudia Sannelli¹, Klaus-Robert Müller¹, Gabriel Curio² and Benjamin Blankertz*^{1,3}

Address: ¹Berlin Institute of Technology, Machine Learning Laboratory, Germany, ²Dept. of Neurology, Campus Benjamin Franklin, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany and ³Fraunhofer FIRST, Berlin, Germany

Email: Benjamin Blankertz* - blanker@cs.tu-berlin.de

* Corresponding author

from Eighteenth Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2009 Berlin, Germany. 18–23 July 2009

Published: 13 July 2009

BMC Neuroscience 2009, **10**(Suppl 1):P84 doi:10.1186/1471-2202-10-S1-P84

This abstract is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2202/10/S1/P84>

© 2009 Dickhaus et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Introduction and method

Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) allow a user to control a computer application by brain activity as acquired by EEG, for example. One of the biggest challenges in BCI research is to understand and antagonize the problem of "BCI Illiteracy": BCI control does not work for a non-negligible portion of subjects (estimated 15% to 30%). To shed light on this problem, we developed a neurophysiological predictor of BCI performance, which can be determined from a two minutes recording of a "relax with eyes open" condition using three Laplacian channels. In a screening study, $N = 40$ subjects performed first a calibration measurement of kinesthetic motor imagery with the left hand, right hand and both feet. A classifier was trained on the modulation of sensorimotor rhythms (SMRs) for the pair of classes that provided best discrimination similar to [1]. In a subsequent feedback measurement subjects could control a 1D cursor application using that classifier. Feedback accuracy largely varied between subjects. Here we present a predictor that quantifies the strength of the SMR idle rhythm during rest. To determine the value of the proposed SMR predictor, a short (~two minutes) recording under the condition "relax with eyes open" using three Laplacian channels at C3, Cz, C4 is required. In each channel the power spectral density (PSD) is calculated and the maximum difference between the PSD curve and a fit of the $1/f$ noise spectrum is calculated as follows. Denoting the probability density function of a normal

distribution with mean μ and standard deviation σ by $\phi(\cdot; \mu, \sigma)$, the PSD is modeled by a function $g(x, \lambda, \mu, \sigma, \mathbf{k}) = g_1(x, \lambda, \mathbf{k}) + g_2(x, \mu, \sigma, \mathbf{k})$ with

$$g_1(x, \lambda, \mathbf{k}) = k_1 + k_2 / x^\lambda \text{ and } g_2(x, \mu, \sigma, \mathbf{k}) = k_3 \phi(x; \mu_1, \sigma_1) + k_4 \phi(x; \mu_2, \sigma_2),$$

where $k_i, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$. Function g_1 models the noise spectrum and g_2 models two peaks in the PSD, which are typically at the alpha and beta frequency. After the parameters have been found by a standard optimization procedure to minimize the mean squared error between g and the estimated PSD, the value of the SMR predictor is the average across the three channels of the maximum of g_2 that is found either in the vicinity of μ_1 or μ_2 : see Figure 1.

Result and discussion

Interestingly, this SMR predictor explains as much as $R^2 = 37\%$ of the variance in feedback accuracy in our sample. This finding not only points out a single cause as essential property for successful performance with an SMR-based BCI, but thereby also paves a way to approach the BCI illiteracy problem. Further studies will evaluate a specifically tailored neurofeedback training in order to enhance the SMR idle rhythm and, as may be speculated, feedback performance in subsequent BCI applications.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by grants of the DFG (MU 987/3-1) and the BMBF (01IB001A/B, 01GQ0850).




Figure 1
From a two minutes recording of a rest condition, PSDs are modeled as brown noise plus two spectral peaks. The maximum elevation of these peaks over the $1/f$ noise floor is averaged across three Laplacian channels resulting in the SMR-predictor value. The scatter plot on the right shows a good correlation with BCI performance.

References

1. Blankertz B, Tomioka R, Lemm S, Kawanabe M, Müller KR: **Optimizing spatial filters for robust EEG single-trial analysis.** *IEEE Signal Proc Magazine* 2008, **25**:41-56.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."
 Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

